V. Greedy Algorithms

Greedy algorithms - Overview

Algorithms for solving (optimization) problems typically go through a sequence of steps, with a set of choices at each step.

Greedy algorithms – Overview

- Algorithms for solving (optimization) problems typically go through a sequence of steps, with a set of choices at each step.
- A greedy algorithm always makes the choice that *looks best at the moment*, without regard for future consequence, i.e., *"take what you can get now"* strategy

Greedy algorithms – Overview

- Algorithms for solving (optimization) problems typically go through a sequence of steps, with a set of choices at each step.
- A greedy algorithm always makes the choice that *looks best at the moment*, without regard for future consequence, i.e., *"take what you can get now"* strategy
- Greedy algorithms do not always yield optimal solutions,

Local optimum \implies Global optimum

but for many problems they do.

Problem statement:

Problem statement:

Input: Set
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 of n activities
 $s_i = \text{start time of activity } i$
 $f_i = \text{finish time of activity } i$

Problem statement:

Input: Set
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 of n activities
 $s_i =$ start time of activity i
 $f_i =$ finish time of activity i

Output: Maximum-size subset $A \subseteq S$ of compatible activities

Problem statement:

Input: Set
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 of n activities
 $s_i =$ start time of activity i
 $f_i =$ finish time of activity i

Output: Maximum-size subset $A \subseteq S$ of compatible activities

Remarks:

► Activities *i* and *j* are compatible if the intervals [*s_i*, *f_i*) and [*s_j*, *f_j*) do not overlap.

Problem statement:

Input: Set
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 of n activities
 $s_i =$ start time of activity i
 $f_i =$ finish time of activity i

Output: Maximum-size subset $A \subseteq S$ of compatible activities

Remarks:

- ► Activities *i* and *j* are compatible if the intervals [*s_i*, *f_i*) and [*s_j*, *f_j*) do not overlap.
- Without loss of generality, assume

$$f_1 \le f_2 \le \dots \le f_n$$

Example

i	s_i	f_i	
1	1	$\frac{f_i}{4}$	
2	3	5	
3	0	6	
2 3 4 5	5	7	
	3	8	
6	5	9	
7	6	10	
8	8	11	
9	8	12	
10	2	13	
11	12	14	

Example

i	s_i	f_i
1	1	4
2	3	5
3	0	6
4	5	7
5	3	8
6	5	9
7	6	10
8	8	11
9	8	12
10	2	13
11	12	14

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ● ○ ● ● ●

4/12

 $A = \{1, 4, 8, 11\}$ is an optimal (why?) solution.

Example

i	s_i	f_i
1	1	4
2	3	5
3	0	6
4	5	7
5	3	8
6	5	9
7	6	10
8	8	11
9	8	12
10	2	13
11	12	14

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 二日

4/12

 $A = \{1, 4, 8, 11\}$ is an optimal (why?) solution. $A = \{2, 4, 9, 11\}$ is also an optimal solution.

Greedy algorithm:

pick the compatible activity with the earliest finish time.

Greedy algorithm:

pick the compatible activity with the earliest finish time.

Why?

Intuitively, this choice leaves as much opportunity as possible for the remaining activities to be scheduled

Greedy algorithm:

pick the compatible activity with the earliest finish time.

Why?

- Intuitively, this choice leaves as much opportunity as possible for the remaining activities to be scheduled
- That is, the greedy choice is the one that maximizes the amount of unscheduled time remaining.

Remarks

- Assume the array f already sorted
- Complexity: T(n) = O(n)

Example

i	s_i	f_i	
1	1	$\frac{f_i}{4}$	
2	3	5	
3	0	6	
2 3 4 5	5	7	
	3	8	
6	5	9	
7	6	10	
8	8	11	
9	8	12	
10	2	13	
11	12	14	

Example

i	s_i	f_i
1	1	4
2	3	5
3	0	6
4	5	7
5	3	8
6	5	9
7	6	10
8	8	11
9	8	12
10	2	13
11	12	14

Solution $A = \{1, 4, 8, 11\}$ by Greedy_Activity_Selector.

Question: Does Greedy_Activity_Selector work?

Question: Does Greedy_Activity_Selector work? Answer: Yes!

Question: Does Greedy_Activity_Selector work? Answer: Yes!

Theorem. Algorithm Greedy_Activity_Selector produces a solution of the activity-selection problem.

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

► let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".

9/12

• If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

- ► let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".
- If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice
- If $k_1 \neq 1$, then let $A' = (A \{k_1\}) \cup \{1\}$.

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".

9/12

- If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice
- If $k_1 \neq 1$, then let $A' = (A \{k_1\}) \cup \{1\}$. Then
 - 1. the sets $A \{k_1\}$ and $\{1\}$ are disjoint

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".

9/12

- If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice
- If $k_1 \neq 1$, then let $A' = (A \{k_1\}) \cup \{1\}$. Then
 - 1. the sets $A \{k_1\}$ and $\{1\}$ are disjoint
 - 2. the activities in A' are compatible

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".

9/12

- If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice
- If $k_1 \neq 1$, then let $A' = (A \{k_1\}) \cup \{1\}$. Then
 - 1. the sets $A \{k_1\}$ and $\{1\}$ are disjoint
 - 2. the activities in A' are compatible
 - 3. A' is also optimal, since |A'| = |A|

The proof of **Theorem** is based on the following two properties:

Property 1.

There exists an optimal solution A such that the greedy choice "1" in A.

Proof:

- let's order the activities in A by finish time such that the first activity in A is "k₁".
- If $k_1 = 1$, then A begins with a greedy choice
- If $k_1 \neq 1$, then let $A' = (A \{k_1\}) \cup \{1\}$. Then
 - 1. the sets $A \{k_1\}$ and $\{1\}$ are disjoint
 - 2. the activities in A' are compatible
 - 3. A' is also optimal, since |A'| = |A|
- Therefore, we conclude that there always exists an optimal solution that begins with a greedy choice.

Property 2.

If A is an optimal solution, then $A' = A - \{1\}$ is an optimal solution to $S' = \{i \in S, s[i] \ge f[1]\}.$

Property 2.

If A is an optimal solution, then $A' = A - \{1\}$ is an optimal solution to $S' = \{i \in S, s[i] \ge f[1]\}.$

Proof: By contradiction. If there exists B' to S' such that |B'|>|A'|, then let

$$B = B' \cup \{1\},$$

we have

|B| > |A|,

which is contradicting to the optimality of A.

Proof of Theorem: By Properties 1 and 2, we know that

 After each greedy choice is made, we are left with an optimization problem of the same form as the original.

Proof of Theorem: By Properties 1 and 2, we know that

- After each greedy choice is made, we are left with an optimization problem of the same form as the original.
- ► *By induction* on the number of choices made, making the greedy choice at every step proceduces an optimal solution.

Therefore, the Greedy_Activity_Selector produces an optimal solution of the activity-selection problem.

Property 1 is called the greedy-choice property, generally casted as

a globally optimal solution can be arrived at by making a locally optimal (greedy) choice.

Property 1 is called the greedy-choice property, generally casted as

a globally optimal solution can be arrived at by making a locally optimal (greedy) choice.

Property 2 is called the optimal substructure property, generally casted as

an optimal solution to the problem contains within it optimal solution to subprograms.

These are two key properties for the success of greedy algorithms!