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Output: a subset $S \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in S} w_{i} \leq W \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i \in S} v_{i} \quad \text { is maximized }
$$

Equivalently, the problem can be cast as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{x_{i} \in\{0,1\}} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} x_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i} \leq W
\end{aligned}
$$
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1. Greedy by highest value $v_{i}$
2. Greedy by least weight $w_{i}$
3. Greedy by largest value density $\frac{v_{i}}{w_{i}}$

All three appraches generate feasible solutions. However, cannot guarantee to always generate an optimal solution!
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Total weight $W=5$

Greedy by value density $v_{i} / w_{i}$ :

- take items 1 and 2.
- value $=16$, weight $=3$

Optimal solution - by inspection

- take items 2 and 3.
- value $=22$, weight $=5$
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The knapsack problem exhibits the optimal substructure property:

Let $i_{k}$ be the highest-numberd item in an optimal solution $S=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right\}$, Then

1. $S^{\prime}=S-\left\{i_{k}\right\}$ is an optimal solution for weight $W-w_{i_{k}}$ and items $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k-1}\right\}$
2. the value of the solution $S$ is
$v_{i_{k}}+$ the value of the subproblem solution $S^{\prime}$
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c[i, w]=c[i-1, w]
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- Case $2\left(w_{i} \leq w\right)$ : we have two choices:
- choice 1: includes item $i$, in which case it is $v_{i}$ plus a subproblem solution for $i-1$ items and the weight excluding $w_{i}$.
- choice 2: does not include item $i$, in which case it is a subproblem solution of $i-1$ items and the same weight.
The better of these two choices should be made., that is

$$
c[i, w]=\max \{\underbrace{v_{i}+c\left[i-1, w-w_{i}\right]}_{\text {choice } 1}, \underbrace{c[i-1, w]}_{\text {choice } 2}\}
$$
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- In summary,
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- The value of an optimal solution $=c[n, W]$.
- The set of items to take can be deduced from the $c$-table by starting at $c[n, W]$ and tracing where the optimal values came from as follows:
- If $c[i, w]=c[i-1, w]$, item $i$ is not part of the solution, and we continue tracing with $c[i-1, w]$.
- If $c[i, w] \neq c[i-1, w]$, item $i$ is part of the solution, and we continue tracing with $c\left[i-1, w-w_{i}\right]$.
- Running time: $\Theta(n W)$ :
- $\Theta(n W)$ to fill in the $c$ table $(n+1)(W+1)$ entries each requiring $\Theta(1)$ time
- $O(n)$ time to trace the solution starts in row $n$ and moves up 1 row at each step.
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| $i$ | $v_{i}$ | $w_{i}$ | $v_{i} / w_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 10 | 2 | 5 |
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Total weight $W=5$
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 10 | 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 12 | 3 | 4 |

Total weight $W=5$
By dynamic programming, we generate the following $c$-table:

| $i \backslash w$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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By the table, we have

- Optimal value $=c[3,5]=22$.
- The optimal solution (the items to take): $S=\{3,2\}$
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- weight $=w=[3,5,7,4,3,9,2,11,5]$;
- Total allowable weight $W=15$

DP generates the following $c$-table:

| $i / w$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
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By the table, we have

- Optimal value $=c[9,15]=23$.
- The set of items to take $S=\{9,7,5,4\}$.
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- Not a specific algorithm, but a technique (like Divide-and-Conquer and Greedy algorithms)
- Four-step (two-phase) technique:

1. Characterize the structure of an optimal solution
2. Recursively define the value of an optimal solution
3. Compute the value of an optimal solution in a bottom-up fashion
4. Construct an optimal solution from computed information
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## Dynamic Programming - Summary

Elements of DP:

1. Optimal substructure:
the optimal solution to the problem contains optimal solutions to subprograms $\Longrightarrow$ recursive algorithm

Example: LCS, recursive formulation and tree
2. Overlapping subproblems:

There are few subproblems in total, and many recurring instances of each. (unlike divide-and-conquer, where subproblems are independent)

Example: LCS has only $m n$ distinct subproblems
3. Memoization:
after computing solutions to subproblems, store in table, subsequent calls do table lookup.

Example: LCS has running time $\Theta(m n)$

