

Practice Final Solutions (Fall 1995 Final)

1 Recall ... [30 points]

A. Complete the following definition:

Let $A, B \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$. We say that A polynomial-time mapping reduces to B , written $A \leq_P B$, if ...

there exists a polynomial-time computable function f such that $x \in A$ iff $f(x) \in B$.

B. Complete the following definition:

A language L is NP-Complete if ...

- (1) $L \in \text{NP}$, and
- (2) for all $A \in \text{NP}$, $A \leq_P L$.

C. State the Cook/Levin Theorem:

Theorem [Cook/Levin]:

There is an NP-Complete language. In fact, SAT is NP-complete.

D. Complete the following statement of the pumping lemma for context free languages:

Theorem. If a language L is context free then there exists a number K such that ...

for all $s \in L$ such that $|s| \geq K$ there exists u, v, x, y, z such that $s = uvxyz$ and $|vxy| \leq K$ and $|vy| \geq 1$ and $uv^i xy^i z \in L$ for all $i \geq 0$.

E. In a sentence or two, state the “Church-Turing Thesis.”

Turing machines exactly capture our intuitive notion of what is effectively computable.

F. State Rice’s Theorem (which says that a certain class of languages is undecidable).

Theorem [Rice]:

If P is a non-trivial property of the r.e. languages then $\{\langle M \rangle : L(M) \text{ has property } P\}$ is undecidable.

2 True/False [20 points]

Mark the correct box by putting an “X” through it. No justification required.

1. For every i , the language $L_i = \{a^i b^i c^i\}$ is context free. **True**
2. Assume L is a regular language and let L_{1101} be the subset of L which contains the strings that end in a 1101. Then L_{1101} is regular. **True**
3. If L^* is decidable then L is decidable. **False**
4. Every enumerable language can be accepted by a TM whose head only moves to the right. **False**

5. For any language L , the language L^* is infinite. **False**
6. Let $\langle M \rangle$ be the encoding of a Turing machine M . Let $P(\langle M \rangle) = 0$ if M on ε halts in an even number of steps, 1 otherwise. Then P satisfies the condition of Rice's theorem: it is a nontrivial property of enumerable languages. **False**
7. The language $L = \{\langle M \rangle : L(M) \in \text{NP}\} \in \text{NP}$. **False**
8. Suppose $3\text{SAT} \leq_P L$ and $L \in \text{P}$. Then $\text{P} = \text{NP}$. **True**
9. A_{TM} is NP-complete. **False**
10. If $L_1 \leq_P L_2$ and $L_2 \leq_P L_1$, then $L_1 = L_2$. **False**

3 Language Classification.

[20 points]

Classify as: $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{decidable} & \text{decidable} \\ \text{r.e.} & \text{enumerable but not decidable} \\ \text{co-r.e.} & \text{co-enumerable but not decidable} \\ \text{neither} & \text{neither enumerable nor co-enumerable} \end{array} \right.$

No explanation is required.

1. $\{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a TM which accepts some palindrome}\}$. **r.e.**
2. $\{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a TM which accepts some string of length } \geq |\langle M \rangle|\}$. **r.e.**
3. $\{d : \text{the digit } d \text{ appears infinitely often in the decimal expansion of } \pi = 3.14159 \dots\}$. **decidable**
4. $\{\langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a regular grammar and } L(G) \text{ contains an even-length string}\}$ **decidable**
5. $\{\langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a CFG and } L(G) = \Sigma^*\}$ **co-r.e.**
6. $\{\langle G, k \rangle : G \text{ is a graph containing no clique of size } k\}$. **decidable**
7. A language L for which $L_{\Sigma^*} = \{\langle M \rangle : L(M) = \Sigma^*\} \leq_m L$. **neither**
8. $\{\langle t \rangle : t \text{ is an instance of the tiling problem for which you can tile the plane using 10 or fewer tile types}\}$. **Omit this; we did not cover the tiling problem this term.**

4 A Tight Bound on DFA Size

[20 points]

Let $L_5 = \{111\}$ be the language over $\{0, 1\}$ which contains only the string 111.

(A) Show that L_5 can be recognized by an 5-state DFA.

Just draw the five-state DFA that accepts L_5 .

(B) Prove that L_5 can not be recognized by a 4-state DFA.

Assume for contradiction that there exists a four state DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ that accepts L_5 . Consider the five states $q_i = \delta^*(q_0, 1^i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 4$. We claim that all five of these states must be distinct, contradicting the pigeonhole principle. To see this, note that if $q_i = q_I$ for $0 \leq i < I \leq 4$ then $\delta^*(q_0, 1^i) = \delta^*(q_0, 1^I)$ so $\delta^*(q_0, 1^i 1^{3-i}) = \delta^*(q_0, 1^I 1^{3-i})$ so $\delta^*(q_0, 1^3) = \delta^*(q_0, 1^{I+3-i})$ which is absurd, because the first state must be final and the second state must not be.

5 A Decision Procedure**[20 points]**

If α is a regular expression, we write α^2 for the regular expression $\alpha\alpha$. Show that the following language is decidable (ie., exhibit a decision procedure for this language):

$$L = \{\langle a, b, c \rangle : a, b \text{ and } c \text{ are regular expressions and } a^2 \cup b^2 = c^2.\}$$

Using the procedure given in class, convert the regular expression $aa \cup bb$ into an NFA, and then a DFA, M_1 . Using the procedure given in class, convert the regular expression cc into an NFA, and then a DFA, M_2 . Using the product construction, construct a DFA M the language of which is $L(M_1) \oplus L(M_2)$. Using the procedure given in class, look to see if $L(M)$ is empty. If it is, answer *yes*; otherwise, answer *no*.

6 Mapping Reductions**[20 points]**

Recall that, if $w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \Sigma^n$ is a string, $w^R = a_n \cdots a_1$ is the “reversal” of w . If $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a language, $L^R = \{w^R : w \in L\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{TM}} &= \{\langle M, w \rangle : M \text{ accepts } w\} \\ A_R &= \{\langle M \rangle : L(M) = (L(M))^R\} \end{aligned}$$

A. Show that $A_{\text{TM}} \leq_m A_R$.

We must map $\langle M, w \rangle$ into $\langle M' \rangle$ such that M accepts w iff $L(M') = (L(M'))^R$. The map must be Turing-computable. So let M' on input x behave as follows:

If $x = 01$ then accept.
 Run M on w
 If M accepts w , then accept
 If M rejects w , then reject

Now if M accepts w then $L(M') = \Sigma^*$ so $L(M') = (L(M'))^R$; while if M does not accept w then $L(M') = \{01\}$ so $L(M') \neq (L(M'))^R$.

B. Show that $\overline{A_{\text{TM}}} \leq_m A_R$.

We must map $\langle M, w \rangle$ into $\langle M' \rangle$ such that (a) if M does not accept w then $L(M') = (L(M'))^R$, and (b) if M does accept w then $L(M') \neq (L(M'))^R$. The map must be Turing-computable. So let M' on input x behave as follows:

Run M on w
 If M accepts w and $x = 01$ then accept
 Reject

Now if M does not accept w then $L(M') = \emptyset$ so $L(M') = (L(M'))^R$; while if M does accept w then $L(M') = \{01\}$ so $L(M') \neq (L(M'))^R$.

7 NP-Completeness**[20 points]**

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. We say that $G' = (V', E')$ is a vertex-induced subgraph of G if $V' \subseteq V$ and E' is all the edges of G both endpoints of which are in V' . Now suppose each edge $e \in E$ has an integer weight, $w(e)$. Then the weight of the subgraph G' is just $\sum_{e' \in E'} w(e')$.

Show that the following language of graphs with heavy vertex-induced subgraphs is NP-Complete.

$$\text{HVIS} = \{ \langle G, w, B \rangle : G = (V, E) \text{ is a graph, } w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ specifies an integer weight, } w(e), \text{ for each } e \in E, \text{ and } B \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is an integer; and } G \text{ has some vertex-induced subgraph of weight at least } B. \}$$

First we show that $\text{HVIS} \in \text{NP}$. Given $\langle G, w, B \rangle$, just “guess” a subset of vertices $V' \subseteq V$ and verify that the total weight of the subgraph induced by V' is at least B . That is, the certificate identifies the induced subgraph.

Now we show that $\text{CLIQUE} \leq_P \text{HVIS}$. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a number k we must map it into a graph $G' = (V', E')$ and a weight function w and a bound B such that G has a clique of size k if and only iff G' has an induced subgraph of weight at least B , relative to w . So given $G = (V, E)$ and k , where $n = |V|$, let $G' = (V, E')$ be the complete graph on n -node. Let $w(e)$ to be 1 if $e \in E$ and $w(e) = -n^2$ otherwise. Let $B = \binom{k}{2} = k(k-1)/2$. Then if G has a k -clique W then W names a vertex-induced subgraph of weight $\binom{k}{2}$ and so the instance of HVIS we have generated is a yes-instance. Conversely, if G' has a vertex-induced subgraph of weight $B = \binom{k}{2}$ then the vertices of this subgraph must comprise a clique of size at least k because it can contain no non-edges of E , as even one such edge would result in the weight of the induced subgraph being negative. The transformation is clearly polynomial time, so we are done.