

Proof for Dijkstra's Algorithm

Recall that Dijkstra's algorithm finds the length of all the shortest paths in a directed graph with non-negative weights on the edges, from a source vertex s to every other vertex v_i in the graph.

We define the distance d_i to be the length of the shortest path from s to vertex v_i . Dijkstra's algorithm maintains a set of vertices S , with two properties. First, S is a set of vertices in the graph nearest to s ; that is:

$$\forall v_i \in S, \forall v_j \in V - S, d_i \leq d_j$$

And second, for all vertices $v_j \in S$, there is a shortest path from s to v_j using only vertices of S as intermediates. There might be several different choices for S ; Dijkstra's algorithm chooses one arbitrarily.

For each "outside" vertex $d_j \in V - S_k$, we define an estimated distance:

$$d_j^{\text{est}} = \min_{v_i \in S} d_i + w(e_{i,j})$$

Since the estimated distance is the length of some path to d_j , it is an upper bound on the length of the true shortest path from s .

Lemma 1 *The estimated distance to d_j^{est} is the length of the shortest path from s to d_j , using only vertices of S as intermediates.*

Proof: Any path from s to d_j , using only vertices of S as intermediates, consists of a shortest path from s to some $v_i \in S$, and then one more edge from v_i to v_j . We defined the estimated distance to v_j to be the shortest path of this form.

The basic step of Dijkstra's algorithm adds one more vertex to S . It selects the vertex to add to be one of the $v_m \in V - S$ such that d_j^{est} is minimum; that is, $d_m^{\text{est}} \leq d_j^{\text{est}}, \forall v_j \in V - S$. The new set $S' = S + v_m$.

We want to prove that this is a correct choice, that is, that S' will have the two properties that S had. This is done using the following essential lemma about S .

Lemma 2 *Let v_m be an outside vertex in $V - S$ such that d_m^{est} is minimum. Then $d_m^{\text{est}} \leq d_j$, for all $j \in V - S$. That is, the estimated distance to v_m is a lower bound on the length of the shortest path from s to any vertex in $V - S$.*

Proof: Assume for the purpose of contradiction that there is some vertex $v_j \in V - S$, with $d_j < d_m^{\text{est}}$. Since $d_m^{\text{est}} \leq d_j^{\text{est}}$, we have $d_j < d_j^{\text{est}}$. So any true shortest path P from s to v_j is shorter than the length of a shortest path using only vertices from S as intermediates. Then P must use at least one vertex from $V - S$ as an intermediate.

Let v_x be the first vertex from $V - S$ along P , as we go from s to v_j , so that the predecessor of v_x along the path belongs to S . Since v_x comes before v_j , $d_x^{\text{est}} \leq d_j < d_m^{\text{est}}$. But v_m was defined to be a vertex of $V - S$ such that d_m^{est} is minimum. This is a contradiction. So our assumption that there is some v_j such that $d_j < d_m^{\text{est}}$ has to be wrong.

Now we use the essential Lemma to prove that our new set S' has the two properties of S .

Theorem 3 *S' is a set of vertices nearest to s , that is,*

$$\forall v_i \in S', \forall v_j \in V - S', d_i \leq d_j$$

We use the essential lemma in two ways. First, since the lemma is true for v_m as well as any other vertex in $V - S$, we have $d_m^{\text{est}} \leq d_m \leq d_m^{\text{est}}$, that is, $d_m^{\text{est}} = d_m$. So we have computed the length of the shortest path to v_m . And second, since $d_m^{\text{est}} = d_m \leq d_j$, for all $v_j \in V - S$, then it is also true that $d_m \leq d_j$ for all $v_j \in V - S'$.

Finally, we need to prove that for all vertices $v_i \in S'$, there is a shortest path from s to v_i using only vertices of S' as intermediates. This is your question to think about.