1. The money changing problem starts with a given set of positive integers called *denominations*  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  (think of them as the integers 1, 5, 10, and 25) and an integer A, we want to find nonnegative integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \ge 0$  such that

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i d_i.$$

2. First, we note that A can be expressed as a linear combination of the  $d_i$  if and only if  $d_i = 1$  for some *i*. Here is a proof.

If one of your denominations  $d_i$  is 1, you will certainly be able to express every integer A as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i d_i$  for some nonnegative integers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Conversely, in order to express A = 1 as a linear combination, you must have  $d_i = 1$  for some i.

3. In general a necessary condition that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i d_i$  is that  $g = gcd(d_1, ..., d_n)$  divides A. In fact, g|A turns out to be both necessary and sufficient for  $A \ge X$  for some (large) X. Here is a proof.

From the extended Euclidean algorithm we know we can write  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i d_i$  with some possibly negative  $g_i$ . Now let

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g_i| d_i$$
$$d_{min} = \min_i d_i,$$
$$k = d_{min}/g,$$
$$X = kG.$$

First note that the k consecutive multiples of g in the set  $S = \{kG, kG + g, kG + 2g, \ldots, kG + (k-1)g\}$ , all have nonnegative coefficients when written as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i d_i$ . The next multiple of g is  $kG + kg = kG + d_{min}$ , which has even larger nonnegative coefficients than kG. The next k-1 multiples of g consequently also have nonnegative coefficients until we get to  $kG + 2x_{min}$ , and so on.

Note that the coefficients are not necessarily unique (all the  $d_i$  could be identical), but we have shown that there is at least one set of nonnegative coefficients for all multiple of g at least equal to X.

4. The **optimal money changing problem** is that for a given A, find the nonnegative  $a_i$ 's that satisfy  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i d_i$ , and such that the sum of all  $a_i$ 's is minimal — that is, you use the smallest possible number of coins.

5. Here is a greedy algorithm for solving this problem:

Order your denominations such that  $d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_n$ . Then the greedy algorithm for this problem would be: Given A, let  $a_1$  be the largest integer such that  $a_1d_1 \leq A$ . If  $A - a_1d_1 > 0$ , let  $a_2$  be the largest integer such that  $a_2d_2 \leq A - a_1d_1$ . If you have nothing left over after doing this for  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ , then  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i d_i$ .

6. Let us show that the greedy algorithm finds the optimum  $a_i$ 's in the case of the denominations  $\{1, 5, 10, 25\}$ . Here is a proof.

Since 1 divides 5 and 5 divides 10, it is clear that if we have a case in which the greedy algorithm would not find the optimal solution, it must involve 25, *i.e.* A must be greater than 25. Assume the greedy algorithm does not find the optimal solution for A, A > 25. Then  $A = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i d_i = \sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i d_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i > \sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i$ , where the  $a_i$  were determined by the greedy algorithm and the  $b_i$  are optimal in that  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i$  is minimal. W.l.o.g.  $a_4 = b_4$  [since  $a_4 \leq 4$  any change of the number of 1 cent coins must occur in 5 unit steps to give the same sum-this is obviously worse than changing  $b_3$ ], in addition to that note that  $a_3 \leq 1$ .

By the above considerations we must have  $a_1 > b_1$ . Let  $x := a_1 - b_1$ . We have three cases to consider:  $a_2 = b_2$ ,  $a_2 > b_2$  and  $a_2 < b_2$ . If we set  $y := a_2 - b_2$  then we can compute  $b_3 = 5x + 2y + a_3$ . Thus the number of coins changes by  $\sum_{i=1}^4 b_i - \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i = 4x + y$ . If we can show that this number is positive, this is a contradiction and we are done. In cases 1 and 2, x and y are  $\geq 0$ . Therefore 4x + y is clearly positive.

In case 3, y is negative. But, as we have to ensure that  $b_3 = 5x + 2y + a_3$  is  $\ge 0$  and we know that  $a_3$  is at most 1, we have  $y \ge -\frac{5}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $4x + y \ge \frac{3}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}$  and it is again positive.

- 7. You can extend this problem and ask "What are good necessary and sufficient conditions on a currency such that the greedy algorithm always gives the minimum amount of coins." This problem is still open. Partial answers and light hearted discussions can be found in the following references:
  - (a) M. J. Magazine, G. L. Nemhauser, L. E. Trotter Jr., When the Greedy Solution Solves a Class of Knapsack Problems, Operations Research 23 (1975), p. 207 – 217
  - (b) John Dewey Jones, Orderly Currencies, American Mathematical Monthly 101 (1994),
    p. 36 38
  - (c) Stephen B. Maurer, Disorderly Currencies, American Mathematical Monthly 101 (1994), p. 419.