IN33A-1023 Crusta: Visualizing High-resolution Global Data

Tony Bernardin	tbernardin@ucdavis.edu	ID
Oliver Kreylos	okreylos@ucdavis.edu	Insti Den
Bernd Hamann	bhamann@ucdavis.edu	Univ

titute for Data Analysis and Visualization partment of Computer Science iversity of California, Davis CA 95616

Christopher Bowles cjbowles@ucdavis.edu Peter Gold Eric Cowgill Louise Kellogg

30 Lat/Lon cells. as 5 latitude division

and 6 longitude divisions. Longitude

We chose a base Polyhedron with

30 equal-area and same-shaped

dron. It drastically reduces the ef-

fect of distortions. The four-sided

finement. The acute angles are

63.43 degrees on a face, thus, each

quad can be split into two almost

faces, the rhombic triacontahe-

lines converge at the poles.

pogold@ucdavis.edu escowgill@ucdavis.edu kellogg@ucdavis.edu

GEOLOGY INIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS Department of Geology, University of California, Davis CA 95616

2 Global data representation

(A) Chose appropriate globe approximation

For efficient, real-time data visualiztion on a global scale the underlying data representation should provide following characteristics:

- A globe parametrization that avoids singularities (e.g. poles). - A parametrization that *mini*mizes distortion.

- A data structure that accommodates a *wide range of data* resolutions and sparse coverage. - Efficient *localized* data access.





We start with the four vertices of a parent tile. The new vertices of the four child tiles are computed as the linear mid-points between each pair of edge-vertices and the centroid.



The new vertices are then projected onto the surface of the spherical globe by means of radial extrusion. The vertices of the parent tile can be directly used as a corner in each child



resentation.

equilateral triangles for rendering.

3 Using Crusta: visualizing high-resolution GeoEarthScope LiDAR digital elevation models (0.5m to 1m pixel resolution)

(A) Dynamic shading on properly resolved topography: Fort Ross, California



The screenshots reveal an uphill-facing scarp that defines the active trace of the San Andreas fault in this area. It is useful to note that it is on the basis of geomorphic details such as this scarp that neotectonic geologists identify and map active faults. In detail the figure provides a comparison of the preprocessed hillshade images draped over a lower-resolution digital elevation model in Google Earth (A and B) with the visualization of the true elevation data with a dynamic lighting source provided by Crusta (C). As the illustrations demonstrate, it is considerably easier to locate and map the trace of the fault using the Crusta visualization. Besides the dominant scarp, it is also much easier to see subtle topographic features within Crusta for two reasons. First, features are not hidden by shadows, as they are in static relief-shaded images. Second, the surface in Crusta is not a texture draped over lower-resolution topographic data as in Google Earth, but rather a dynamically lit surface that is created directly from the high resolution topographic data.



(B) Support a wide range of data resolutions

The process can be recursively applied to the newly created tiles, increasing the surface sample desnsity with each step. Any tile in the hierarchy can be independently refined, enabling a localized, sparse, multi-scale data rep-

base-patch (level-0)





(B) High-resolution topography: Fort Ross, California



The same area as in (A) except with a three-fold vertical exaggeration in both Google Earth and Crusta. Because of the low-resolution of the underlying topographic data in the Google Earth visualization, the uphill-facing scarp becomes diffuse at this scale. The texture that is draped over the digital elevation model is also stretched out which could result in misleading interpretation. By contrast, because Crusta uses the high-resolution digital elevation model, the scarp becomes a prominent feature when it is vertically exaggerated, allowing it to be more clearly distinguished.



3 Map finest tiles. Each sample of the finest resolved tiles is mapped into the data domain and the corresponding value is re-

4 Subsample fine tiles. Combine the data of four fine tiles to produce the halfresolution data needed for the parent. Recursively, this step populates the coarser tiles with the appropriate data.

(C) Finding new offset features: Owens Valley fault, Eastern California Shear Zone





GoogleEarth:

- global data - high resolution

imagery

- pre-loaded GIS data

- area mapping

- easy measurement

KECKCAVES

· W.M. Keck **Center for Active Visualization** in the Earth Sciences

Characterize complex structures

What is KeckCAVES? **Goals: Use interactive visualization to:**



www.keckcaves.org

tegrate diverse datasets into models Develop a Virtual California for earthquake forecasting Precisely represent dynamic processes in the deep Earth Interact with dynamic models

Interactively map small structures over large spatial scales

lineate features in remotely acquired data

Simultaneously adjust, run and visualize numerical experiments Interactively explore rare events

mnlex houndary and initial conditions for models Build crystal structures Reconstruct the morphology of microbial communities Build models of faults

The centerpiece of KeckCAVES is a Mechdyne CAVE immersive visualization system that was installed in the UC Davis Department of Geology in April 2005. It has been fully functional since before the installation team left. We currently have several highly interactive visualization applications for a variety of earth science problems, and are in the process of developing more.



(E) Visualize using vertical exaggeration and shading

Exploiting the multi-scale representation of the data, approximations of the terrain are produced based on the viewing parameters to enable the real-time visualiztion.

We enhance the visualization further by allowing the terrain mesh to be distorted (vertically exaggerated) as well as shaded on-thefly. We've found these features to greately enhance the perception of shape.



The Owens Valley fault is an active dominantly right-lateral fault with a component of oblique normal slip. Of interest is the contrast between the recorded geodetic rates of ~2 to ~7 mm/yr and the geologically determined slip rates of ~1 to ~4 mm/yr. Finding new offset features and corresponding geologic slip rates may help explain the discrepancy between the geodetic and geologic slip rates.

(a) and (b) reveal a probable pressure ridge and deflected stream along the fault with 1x vertical exaggeration in both Crusta and Google Earth. The feature is obvious in Crusta, but within Google Earth there are no recognizable features that suggest a pressure ridge besides the shadow outline. The prominent drainage that is easily distinguished in (b) is obscure and hard to recognize in (a).

In (c) and (d) three small (< 5m) scarps record the normal movement of the fault. Again, the shadow outlines are the only distinguishing marks for these features in the Google Earth display (c); there are no clear breaks in slope. In comparison, the Crusta display (d) reveals obvious breaks in slope and scarps are easily identified.

The comparison illustrates how the dynamically shaded visualization using Crusta readily exposes such features critical in researching evidence of previous ruptures along the Owens Valley fault.