

Stratovan■ OBJECT AND MATERIAL EIGENFUNCTIONS - Cont'd.

• Laplacian eigenfunctions and neural networks:...

8) Generally, we can consider the use of the following variables/functions to in-

volve in the determination of an image segment's class: (i) For an unclassified segment, we know its degree-of-similarity values - for H scales, for all classes of interest ("p-values"). (ii) For an unclassified segment, and for all classes and scales, we know / have specified univariate, bivariate, ..., multivariate decider functions ("F-functions") - that define the probability of belonging to a specific class for one or several known p-values. For example, the meaning of " $F_{1,3}(p_1, p_3) = .95$ " is the following: "Given two degree-of-similarity values for the unclassified segment for scales 1 and 3, and the definition of the bivariate decider function $F_{1,3}$, the probability of the unclassified segment of belonging to the class being compared against is .95." Of course, the value .95 is the result returned by $F_{1,3}$ for a specific value pair for p_1 and p_3 , e.g., $p_1 = .9$ and $p_3 = .9$. (iii) One can consider the use of weights (optimized) that could combine all or some of the multi-scale data

Stratovan■ OBJECT AND MATERIAL EIGENFUNCTIONS - Cont'd.

• Laplacian eigenfunctions
and neural networks:...

available for the segment's
classification: p-values $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_H$ and decision
function values, F-values, depending on one
or multiple p-values, i.e., $F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_H$
 $F_{1,2}, F_{1,3}, F_{1,4}, \dots, F_{1,H}, \dots, F_{1,2,3}, \dots, H$. There
are H p-values and $2^H - 1$ F-values (assuming
that all possible decider functions F are
indeed known). When involving weights
to determine a "final classification pro-
bability," one needs to calculate/define
(and optimize) the values of weights
 $w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_H$ for the p-values and of
weights $W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_H, W_{1,2}, W_{1,3}, W_{1,4}, \dots,$
 $W_{1,H}, \dots, W_{1,2,3}, \dots, H$ for all possible F-values.

9) A practical method based on this concept
must be designed with a keen awareness
of the computational cost defined by the
number of scales being used in classifi-
cation calculations. THE NUMBER OF SCALES
AND THEREFORE THE NUMBER OF p-VALUES
AND THE NUMBER OF DECIDER FUNCTIONS, I.E.,
F-VALUES, SHOULD BE MINIMAL - MINIMIZED
FOR EACH CLASS - TO SATISFY EFFICIENCY
REQUIREMENTS.

Stratovan■ OBJECT AND MATERIAL EIGENFUNCTIONS - Cont'd.

• Laplacian eigenfunctions
and neural networks...

10) One can adopt and design a "class-centric" approach for performing the classi-

fication of an unclassified image segment.

A "standard" classification approach might compute/define ALL possible p - and F -values for the unclassified segment by comparing it with each class of interest, each represented by its H scale-specific coefficient histograms.

An alternative approach would only consider one material class at a time and compare its coefficient histograms with those of the unclassified segment. Algorithmically, one could implement this alternative as follows:

- Input: Eigenfunction coefficient histograms for one unclassified image segment and for multiple material classes of interest, where each class' histograms have been established via many sample image segments
- Output: Classification result for the unclassified segment
- Algorithm: /* Perform iterative comparison process */
/* between a specific class of interest */
/* and the unclassified image segment */
/* until a first "class-match" is de- */
/* termined or no "class-match" can be found. */

Stratovan■ OBJECT AND MATERIAL EIGENFUNCTIONS - Cont'd.

- Laplacian eigenfunctions and neural networks:... /* Assuming that it is possible */
/* and allowed that an unclassified image segment could be a "match" for several classes of interest, one could use a WHILE loop implementation: */
 - noMatches = 0;
 - WHILE (additional classes are available for comparison) DO
 - compare unclassified segment with specific class;
 - IF (there is a "class-match")
 - store the class-ID with the unclassified segment;
 - noMatches += 1;
 - IF (the noMatches is 0)
 - store the class-ID "NONE" with the unclassified segment;
- /* One can also terminate the WHILE loop when a first "match" has been found. */
- /* Note. i) p-values (degree-of-similarity) would be computed in the loop. */
- /* ii) F-values/-functions can be pre-defined/-computed. */
- Point ii) of the Note in the comment above is important: The possible, maximal number of F-functions is $2^H - 1$, for image segment data characterized with H scales. Thus, the fact that these F-functions can be pre-computed is essential for efficiency.
- ...

■ OBJECT AND MATERIAL EIGENFUNCTIONS - Cont'd.

- Laplacian eigenfunctions and neural networks:...

Pre-computation of F-functions
is possible for the following

reason: For each material

class of interest, a "large" number of representative samples is used to establish its H eigenfunction-based coefficient value histograms. For example, the scale-1 histograms of the materials can be similar or dissimilar. If one histogram h is very dissimilar to all other histograms, then the implication will be this: When an unclassified image segment has a histogram that is very similar to h (for the same scale), the associated F-function value should be large, indicating a high probability for a class-match. In other words, scale-specific histogram data for material sample images must return relatively larger F-function values when they are rather distinctive, i.e., very different from other histogram data. Point i) of the Note emphasizes that only degree-of-similarity values, p-values, must be calculated in "real time" for the analysis and classification of an unclassified image segment. Further, high-probability classification might often be possible with just a small number of p-values.