

Software Engineering Research

Premkumar Devanbu, Zhendong Su

Raju Pandey, Ron Olsson,

Hao Chen, Karl Levitt



What makes us tick?

GOAL: Produce software at lower cost, with fewer people, at a faster schedule.

Approach: Improve software engineering activities:

Requirements

Design

Coding

Quality Control

Example results.

- ◆ “I’ve invented a new language to program security in distributed systems that allows 3rd party development”
- ◆ “I’ve invented a new tool which automatically finds defects in programs that query databases”
- ◆ “I’ve discovered a way to predict defect rates in java classes based on their structure”
- ◆ “I’ve discovered a new way to organize software teams to produce IT applications faster, cheaper, and better”
- ◆ “I’ve discovered that what we believe about n-version programming is wrong, wrong, wrong”.

What's the field like?

- ◆ Source of problems.
- ◆ Solutions come from related fields:
 - ◆ programming languages+compilers
 - ◆ algorithms
 - ◆ formal methods,
 - ◆ social science

What goes on here?

- ◆ Devanbu: programming models, middleware, software quality, open-source development.
- ◆ Su, Chen, Levitt: Software Quality, analysis, theory of programming languages.
- ◆ Pandey: programming models for new paradigms (sensor networks)
- ◆ Olsson: Concurrent Programming.

The Lay of the Land

- ◆ Major conferences: SIGSOFT, ICSE (~15% acceptance rate).
- ◆ Major Journals: ACM TOSEM, IEEE TSE, Software Practice & Experience.
- ◆ Major Universities: UCI, CMU, MIT, Toronto, UBC, Waterloo, UT Austin, UC Davis, USC, U Washington, U Virginia, U Colorado.
- ◆ Faculty Jobs: Usually more openings than candidates.

Main topics.

Improving Software Cost, Quality,
Interval

- ◆ Models: theories, abstractions (e.g., UML, Z, Formal Logic, Petri nets)
- ◆ Methods: Procedures (e.g., Extreme Programming, coverage testing)
- ◆ Tools: Automation/Support (e.g., debuggers).

Example: Model

Problem: How do we develop distributed, heterogeneous systems?

Solution: Easier programming w/CORBA

How?

- * Interface definition Language
- * Tools to generate code
- * Type-checked development.
- * Run-time environment support

Validation:

Examples, Comparison with old way. Performance evaluation.

Example: Tool

Problem: Developing concurrent systems is hard, e.g., device drivers

Solution: Find defects automatically in source code.

How?

- * Abstract a finite-state model
- * Describe the desired property
- * Check the finite model.

Validation:

- * Can we prove that it is sound?
- * How efficient is it? Scaling?
- * What is the rate of false positives?

Example: Process

Problem: Allocating scarce inspection time.

Solution: Find defect-prone elements of systems.

How?

- * Identify process goal & metric.
- * Define plausible predictive product metrics
- * Make statistical prediction.

Validation:

- * Theoretically validate metrics (axiomatics).
- * statistical (non-parametric?) validation using historical data.

So, where is the field going?
What are the interesting problems?
How do I find a thesis topic?
How do I publish papers?
How do I find an academic job?

Burning Issues



- ◆ Separation vs. crosscutting
- ◆ Abstraction vs. Performance
- ◆ Protection vs. performance
- ◆ Agility, flexibility vs. Reliability, Quality.
- ◆ Precision vs. Scaling

Separation vs. Crosscutting

Goal: Separation of concerns (why?)

Problem: Some concerns are hard to decompose (e.g., Security, Fault-tolerance, billing etc affect all components).

Approaches: Aspect-Oriented programming, Reflection, Monadic programming, Mixin Layers

Issues: Correctness, Efficiency, Understandability.

Abstraction vs. Performance

Goal: Brevity, Comprehensibility, SoC

Problem: Performance, and inflexibility.

Approaches: multi-layer optimization, partial evaluation.

Issues: Correctness, ease of use.

Protection vs. Performance

Goal: Protect critical resources

Problem: Inflexibility.

Approaches: “safe” extension mechanisms, such as sandboxes.

Issues: Correctness, power.

Agility and Flexibility

vs. Reliability and quality.

Goal: The software process must be fast, flexible, and still be well controlled.

Problem: Control inhibits speed.

Approaches: Extreme Programming, Open source Development.

Issues: Applicability of these processes? Why do they work (specially open-source)

Precision vs. Scalability.

Goal: Build analysis tools that find defects accurately.

Problem: Undecidability & combinatorial blow-up.

Approaches: Build sound but imprecise tools.

Issues: Improving precision. Specialization. Interactivity. Better algorithms, hardware.

Succeeding in Research

- ◆ Read, read, read.
- ◆ Be a fashion plate and a name dropper.
- ◆ Write Code. Hack. Read Code.
- ◆ Attend Seminars: *Systems*, *PL*, but also *security* and *theory*.
- ◆ Talk, argue, canoodle, discuss.
- ◆ Question everything, and everyone.

Writing Papers-1

- ◆ The Role of Conferences.
- ◆ The reviewing process in conferences.
- ◆ The burden on the authors. Must write with extreme care!!!! Wordsmith!!
- ◆ Give your advisor a draft 2 weeks before the due date.

Writing Papers 2.

- ◆ Outline: Introduction, example(s), broad related work, solution, evaluation, narrow work, conclusion.
- ◆ Role of each section.

Writing Papers -3

- ◆ Introduction: Problem explained in broadest setting (clarify, don't oversell).
- ◆ Example: Be current, simple, and to the point.
- ◆ Broad related work: Why is the example not solved?
- ◆ Contribution: Explain model, method, and tool. Explain roles (new ones).

Writing Papers-4

- ◆ Evaluation: consider the culture of your audience!!
Formal, Examples, Performance studies, statistical validity etc.
- ◆ Close Related work: Be very precise, and non-judgement. Be shamelessly diplomatic. Look at the program committee, don't be stupid.
- ◆ Conclusion. Summarize carefully, don't oversell. Give web page for some software (even prototype).

Finding Academic Jobs



- ◆ Plan your graduation time carefully, based on your ambitions.
- ◆ Talk to your advisor about an external member.
- ◆ Go to workshops, conferences, chat up the big wigs. Ask them for letters.
- ◆ Have your advisor email colleagues in target universities.

Summary

- ◆ Exciting, inter-disciplinary field, requiring “lateral” thinking.
- ◆ The “action” is in managing tradeoffs of current interest.
- ◆ Conference papers are critical, and not easy.
- ◆ Academic job market is stable, and good.