Principles-Driven Forensic Analysis

Sean Peisert, Matt Bishop, Sidney Karin, and Keith Marzullo UC San Diego and UC Davis

New Security Paradigms Workshop (NSPW) 2005 Lake Arrowhead, CA September 20-23, 2005

Re-Defining Forensic Analysis

forensic analysis: the process of answering the question:

"What happened?"

Tools Used For Forensics Today

- Two Parts of Forensics: Logging & Auditing (Gathering, Processing, Examining, Analyzing)
- Logging
 - syslog, TCPWrappers, IDS logs, firewall logs, process accounting, keystroke logging, BSM, ReVirt.

- Auditing
 - grep/strings
- Both
 - BackTracker, Plato, (Tripwire)

What can't we currently (generally) detect forensically?

- 1. User functions and variables used
- 2. Changes in the user environment.
- 3. Race conditions in memory
- 4. Buffer and numeric overflows
- 5. Code injected into the program instruction stream
- 6. Covert channels through memory reads/writes
- 7. Covert channels through raw disk device at points with unallocated inodes
- 8. Interception of user input.
- 9. Programmer backdoors exploited
- 10. Code written to the heap and executed dynamically at runtime

Principles

- 1. Consider the entire system
- 2. Assumptions should not control what is logged.
- 3. Consider the effects of events, not just the actions that caused them.
- 4. Context assists in interpreting the meaning of an event.
- 5. Actions and results must be presented in a way that can be analyzed and understood by a human forensic analyst.

What about feasibility?

- Significant performance considerations are obvious.
- We have no desire to fundamentally change the system.
- Importance of logging is how well the data it captures enables auditing.
- Currently concentrating on completeness and efficacy, rather than efficiency and performance. Basis for this is two-fold:
 - We advocate starting from a desired "end state."
 - Limited, special-purpose systems may tolerate inefficiency.
- Obvious approaches to future solutions include information compression, co-processor-assisted logging, and dedicated hardware.

Implementation Goals

- *Goal:* Collect all data that a human analyst might need. Do this irregardless of: intent of attacker, whether attacker is an insider or outsider, & nature of activities.
- *Goal:* Automate processing and presentation of that data in a way that makes it easier for a human to understand and direct further processing of later.
- *Goal:* Automate search for certain activities to draw an analyst's attention, with limited "false flags."

Principles-Based Auditing: The Data Model

- *multi-resolution:* "a correlated, layered perspective (state table), encompassing all levels of a system's software state, objects, and events, including memory, network, kernel, disk, applications."
 - Example: Program is composed of functions, variables, etc....
- translate abstraction shortcuts
 - Example: Memory location is 0x2231291
- actions and effects
 - Example: Keystroke is 'k', the effect is ??
- context
 - Example: When a command is executed, what is the path searched?

Auditing Example of Desired Output



• Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.
- Example #2

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.
- Example #2
 - Event Question: Is a location in memory being written to by a process or thread which does not "own" that location?

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.
- Example #2
 - Event Question: Is a location in memory being written to by a process or thread which does not "own" that location?
 - Labelling Question: Is that a race condition.? Run models.

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.
- Example #2
 - Event Question: Is a location in memory being written to by a process or thread which does not "own" that location?
 - Labelling Question: Is that a race condition.? Run models.
- Example #3:

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.
- Example #2
 - Event Question: Is a location in memory being written to by a process or thread which does not "own" that location?
 - Labelling Question: Is that a race condition.? Run models.
- Example #3:
 - Event Question: Is a process jumping to unexpected places in memory, such as non-word boundaries?

- Not real-time intrusion detection, so we can do this repeatedly.
- Example #1: Buffer or Integer Overflow
 - Need to know the sizes of all memory allocations, both static and dynamic, and all memory writes. Compare allocations to writes.
- Example #2
 - Event Question: Is a location in memory being written to by a process or thread which does not "own" that location?
 - Labelling Question: Is that a race condition.? Run models.
- Example #3:
 - Event Question: Is a process jumping to unexpected places in memory, such as non-word boundaries?
 - Labelling Question: Are those *covert channels*? programming errors? Run models.

Principles-Based Logging: Kernel and System Apps

• Start with existing tools: Instrument kernel to capture:

- traps & interrupts
- events involving the filesystem and network stack
- reads/writes directly to raw devices (disk, network)
- context
- Instrument shells and other applications (vi, emacs, X Windows)
 - Application execution paths, library paths, user limits, current working directory, keystroke mappings, command aliases

Principles-Based Logging: User Space

- The mechanism is less relevant
- Can use several methods to gather memory traces:
 - Virtual machine introspection (e.g. *ReVirt/BackTracker*)
 - Binary rewriting (e.g. Eraser)
 - Compiler instrumentation (e.g. LLVM "passes")
 - Symbol table, data types
 - Arguably easier

Summary

- Principles may lead to answers will be more easily proved correct, including for the *insider problem*.
- Techniques based on the principles can enable improvements in forensics: analysts can exhaustively and intelligently view data and validate their suspicions, instead of inferring conclusions from insufficient data.
- Nothing wrong with *inferring* errors, except when it inhibits collecting data.
- Efficiency is a concern, though results can be valuable, even if not generally or widely applicable.
- Proof of efficacy may lead to OS or hardware changes that could do the same thing by using more predictable/computable data, and therefore less recorded data.
- Open research areas remain about best presentation methods and ways of automatically classifying actions

How can we force the use of a particular compiler on everything?

- NetBSD has verified exec feature.
- Future operating system could offer this features as well, or may use hardware to enforce it.