

## Rice's Theorem

**Rice's theorem** helps explain one aspect of the pervasiveness of undecidability. Here is the theorem and its proof, following the needed definition.

A **property of languages** is a predicate  $P: \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*) \rightarrow \{\text{false}, \text{true}\}$  for some alphabet  $\Sigma$ . That is, the input to  $P$  is a language and the output is a truth value. The value  $P(L) = \text{true}$  (we can write " $P(L)$ ") means that  $L$  has the property  $P$ ; the value  $P(L) = \text{false}$  (we can write " $\overline{P(L)}$ ") means that  $L$  does not have property  $P$ . Example properties are: is finite, is infinite, is regular, is r.e., contains the empty string, contains the string 1011, contains some palindrome, contains only palindromes.

A **nontrivial property of r.e. languages** is a property of languages  $P$  such that  $P(L_0)$  for some r.e. language  $L_0$  and  $\overline{P(L_1)}$  for some r.e. language  $L_1$ . In English, some r.e. language has the property and some r.e. language does not. All the example properties we listed above are nontrivial with the exception of "is r.e.".

**Theorem [Rice]:** If  $P$  is a nontrivial property of r.e. languages then

$$L_P = \{\langle M \rangle : P(L(M))\}$$

is undecidable. More specifically, (1) if  $P(\emptyset)$  then  $L_P$  is not r.e., and (2) if  $\overline{P(\emptyset)}$  then  $L_P$  is not co-r.e..

**Proof:** We prove the second claim; the first is similar. So we are assuming that  $\emptyset$  does *not* have property  $P$ :  $P(\emptyset) = \text{false}$ . We show  $A_{\text{Tm}} \leq_m L_P$ . To show this, we must exhibit a Turing computable function  $f$  for which  $\langle M' \rangle = f(\langle M, w \rangle)$  is a machine accepting a language with property  $P$  iff  $M$  accepts  $w$ . Let the behavior of  $M'$  on input  $x$  to be:

Run  $M$  on  $w$ .

If  $M$  rejects, *reject*.

Run  $M_1$  on  $x$  where  $M_1$  is a (fixed) machine for which  $P(\langle M_1 \rangle) = 1$ . We know such an  $M_1$  exists because  $P$  is a *nontrivial* property of r.e. languages.

If  $M_1$  accepts, *accept*; if  $M_1$  rejects, *reject*."

Clearly  $M'$  is Turing computable from  $M$  and  $w$ . Observe that

(1) if  $M$  accepts  $w$  then  $L(M') = L(M_1)$ , which is a language with property  $P$ .

(2) if  $M$  does not accept  $w$ , then  $L(M') = \emptyset$  which, by assumption, is a language that does not have property  $P$ .

Now try to do case (2) on your own.