Problem Set 3 – Due Tuesday, January 31, 2012

- **Problem 1.** Consider trying to show that the NFA-acceptable languages are closed under * (Kleene closure) by way of the following construction: add ε -arrows from every final state to the start state; then finalize the start state, too. Show, by finding a small counterexample, that the proposed construction does not work.
- **Problem 2.** Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ be an NFA. We say that M accepts a string x in the *all-paths sense* if *every* computation of M on x ends in a state in F. Let L'(M) denote the set of all $x \in \Sigma^*$ such that M accepts x in the all-paths sense. Show that L is regular iff L = L'(M) for some NFA M.

Problem 3. Prove that the following languages are not regular.

Part A. $L = \{www : w \in \{a, b\}^*\}.$

Part B. $L = \{a^{2^n}: n \ge 0\}.$

Problem 4. Decide if the following languages are regular or not, proving your answers either way.

Part A. $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* : w \text{ is } not \text{ a palindrome } \}.$

Part B. $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* : w \text{ has an equal number of 01's and 10's}\}.$

Part C. $L = \{w \in \{0, 1, 2\}^* : w \text{ has an equal number of 01's and 10's}\}.$

- **Problem 5.** Describe a decision procedure to solve the following problem: given a regular expression α , is there a shorter regular expression for the same language?
- **Problem X.** The following question is for, at most, the top 2–3 students in the class; other students should spend their time elsewhere. If you solve it, please email a solution directly to Prof. Rogaway. Show that if $L \subseteq 1^*$, then L^* is regular.