## Problem Set 1 Solutions

ECS 227 — Phil Rogaway — Winter 2009

An excellent solution turned in by a student

## Problem 1

Is the following notion of privacy achievable by a stateless, probabilistic encryption scheme? Scheme  $\Pi = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$  is perfectly private against an adversary that asks two queries if for all distributions on plaintexts  $\mathcal{M}$  and all  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and all  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \land M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \land C_2 = c_2] = \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \land M_2 = m_2]$$

where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are sampled independently from  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $C_1$  and  $C_1$  are obtained by encrypting them. (Assume that  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are restricted such that  $Pr[C_1 = c_1 \land C_2 = c_2] > 0]$ .)

**Solution.** No. Suppose there exists a scheme satisfying the above definition. Let  $c_1 = c_2$ ,  $m_1 \neq m_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \land M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \land C_2 = c_2] = 0, \\ &\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \land M_2 = m_2] = \Pr[M_1 = m_1] \Pr[M_2 = m_2] \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to the fact that

$$\Pr[M_1 = m_1 \land M_2 = m_2 \mid C_1 = c_1 \land C_2 = c_2] = \Pr[M_1 = m_1 \land M_2 = m_2].$$

## Problem 2

Secrecy from a random shuffle. Alice shuffles a deck of cards and deals it out to herself and Bob so that each gets half of the 52 cards. Alice now wishes to send a secret message M to Bob by saying something aloud. Eavesdropper Eve is listening in: she hears everything Alice says (but Eve can't see the cards).

**Part A.** Suppose Alice's message M is a string of 48-bit. Describe how Alice can communicate M to Bob in such a way that Eve will have no information about what is M.

**Solution.** The shuffle of the 52 cards provides us with a key space  $\mathcal{K}$ . We have the following three observations:

- $|\mathcal{K}| = C_{52}^{26}$ , since we have  $C_{52}^{26}$  different combinations for the cards in Alice's hand.
- Bob also knows  $\mathcal{K}$ , since the cards are dealt out evenly to two persons.
- $\mathcal{K}$  has a uniform distribution, since the cards are randomly shuffled.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the message space of 48-bit strings, and  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the ciphertext space s.t.  $|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{K}|$ . Since  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{48} < C_{52}^{26} = |\mathcal{K}|$ , we have  $|\mathcal{M}| < |\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{K}|$ .

Consider the cryptosystem  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ . Define the encryption algorithm as

$$\mathcal{E}_k(m) = (m+k) \mod C_{52}^{26}$$

for each  $k \in \mathcal{K}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Correspondingly, define the decryption algorithm as

$$\mathcal{D}_k(c) = (c-k) \mod 2^{48},$$

for each  $k \in \mathcal{K}, c \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Both  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are deterministic.

This scheme achieves the perfect secrecy. This is true because for each  $m \in \mathcal{M}, c \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\Pr[\text{Alice says } c \mid M = m] = \Pr[k = (c - m) \mod C_{52}^{26}] = 1/C_{52}^{26}.$$

This implies that

$$\Pr[\text{Alice says } c \mid M = m_1] = \Pr[\text{Alice says } c \mid M = m_2],$$

for all  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}, c \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Therefore, the event "Alice says c" is independent of the event "M = m". Hence the perfect secrecy.

**Part B.** Now suppose Alice's message M is 49-bit. Prove that there exists no protocol that allows Alice to communicate M to Bob in such a way that Eve will have no information about M. **Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the message space of 49-bit strings. Unfortunately, we have  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{49} > C_{52}^{26} = |\mathcal{K}|$ . Suppose we have a protocol that achieves the perfect secrecy. Let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $\Pr[Alice says c] \neq 0$ . Define the set

$$D_c = \{ m \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{D}_k(c) = m, k \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is deterministic, we can only have one  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  for each  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ . Hence  $|D_c| \leq |K|$ .

Therefore,  $|D_c| < |M|$ . It follows that there exists at least a  $m^* \in \mathcal{M}$  s.t.  $m^* \notin D_c$ . Hence, we have

 $\Pr[M = m^* \mid \text{Alice says } c] = 0.$ 

We also have

$$\Pr[M=m^*] \neq 0,$$

which implies that

 $\Pr[M = m^* \mid \text{Alice says } c] \neq \Pr[M = m^*].$ 

This is a contradiction to the definition of the perfect secrecy.